

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:)
Shinji MAEKAWA et al)
Serial No.: 10/827,457)
Filed: April 19, 2004)
Art Unit: 1762)
Examiner: Marianne L. Padgett)
Confirmation No: 2984)
For: METHOD FOR FORMING PATTERN)
AND DROP DISCHARGE APPARATUS)

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97, Applicant hereby calls the Examiner's attention to documents listed on the attached form, which documents may be material to the examination of this application. Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.98(a)(2)(i) copies of cited US patent and US patent application publications are not submitted herewith.

REFERENCES CITED HEREIN

The Japanese references submitted herewith were cited by the Japanese Patent Office in counterpart Japanese application no. JP 2007-099447, in an office action mailed to Applicants on July 27, 2010. The EPO and US references also submitted

herein, although not included in the Office Action, are the family members of the cited references and are being included for the Examiner's benefit.

The family of JP 11-204529 cited herein includes:

EP Patent No. 0 930 641 A2 *
US Patent No. 6,877,853 B2 *
US Patent No. 7,114,802 B2 *
US Patent No. 6,599,582 B2 **

The family of JP 2002-196127 cited herein includes:

EP Patent No. 1 238 708 A1 *
US Patent No. 6,893,103 B2 *

* (Not cited in 07/27/2010 JP Office Action)

** (Not cited in 07/27/2010 JP Office Action and previously submitted & entered in this case)

No inference should be drawn that the attached list sets forth a comprehensive investigation of the prior art, that any or all are pertinent to the invention, or that any apparatus disclosed is equivalent to the subject invention.


The citation of the above-discussed documents is not to be construed as an assertion that more pertinent art could not possibly be in existence. Citation of any document herein is not to be construed as an admission that any subject matter disclosed in the document is necessarily within the inventive field of endeavor, that any disclosure is necessarily prior in time to a particular date which may be relevant to the instant patent application, and/or that any disclosure is otherwise necessarily prior art with respect to the instant invention.

Applicant also respectfully reserves the right to later set forth how the instant invention is distinguished over the disclosure of any document or other art, including the disclosure of those documents discussed herein, that may be cited by the Examiner in rejecting a claim in the instant patent application.

FEE

As an RCE was recently filed in this case, no fee is believed due for this IDS. However, if any such fee is required, please charge our Deposit Account No. 23-0920.

Respectfully submitted,


Mark J. Murphy
Registration No: 34,225

Husch Blackwell LLP Welsh Katz
120 South Riverside Plaza
Suite 2200
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 655-1500

Customer No: 24628